FILED
OREGON JUDICIAL DEPT
WASHINGTON COUNTY

00 JUL 12 AM 8: 46

Brian Patrick Conry, P.C.

OSB #82224

534 SW Third Ave., Suite 711

Portland, Oregon 97204

(503) 274-4430

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON

## FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON

Case No. 99 1251 CV
Petitioner,

Vs.

JUDGMENT AND ORDER
STATE OF OREGON,

Defendant/Respondent.

The above case came for trial on February 15,2000 before the Honorable Jon Lund

Petitioner appeared in person and by her attorney, Brian Patrick Conry and

Defendant/Respondent appeared by and through its attorney, Andrew R. Erwin, Deputy District

21 Attorney

The Court having considered all evidence before it and, based on the Findings of Fact and

23 Conclusions of Law separately entered,

I - JUDGMENT AND ORDER

26

24

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the Petitioner's Formal Petition for Post-Conviction Relief is granted and Petitioner's conviction for delivery of a controlled substance is velu and hereby set aside. DATED this 11 day of July, 2000. Jon Lund Circuit Court Judge **ORDER SUBMITTED BY:** Brian Patrick Conry, OSB #82224 **Attorney** for Petitioner 

2 - JUDGMENT AND ORDER

**FILED** OREGON JUDICIAL DEPT WASHINGTON COUNTY 1 Brian **Patrick** Conry, *P.C.* OSB #82224 00 JUL 12 AH 8:46 2 534 SW Third Ave., Suite 711 Portland, Oregon 97204 3 (503) 274-4430 5 6 7 IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON 8 FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON 9 10 Case No. 99 1251 CV 11 ) 12 Petitioner, 13 VS. JUDGMENT AND ORDER 14 STATE OF OREGON, 15 Defendant/Respondent. 16 17 The above case came for trial on February 15,2000 before the Honorable Jon Lund. 18 Petitioner appeared in person and by her attorney, Brian Patrick Conry and 19 Defendant/Respondent appeared by and through its attorney, Andrew R. Erwin, Deputy District 20 Attorney. 21 The Court having considered all evidence before it arid, based on the Findings of Fact and 22 23 Conclusions of Law separately entered,

)

24

25

26

- JUDGMENT **AND** ORDER

BRIAN PATRICK CONRY, P.C.

•	, j
1	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED that the Petitioner's Formal Petition
2	for Post-Conviction Relief is granted and Petitioner's conviction for delivery of a controlled
3	substance is vella and hereby set aside.
4	DATED this 11 day of July, 2000.
5	
6	
7	Jon Lund Circuit Court Judge
8	
9	ORDER SUBMITTED BY:
10	Brian Patrick Conry, OSB #82224 Attorney for Petitioner
11	
12	
13	
14	

1	Brian Patrick Conry, P.C. OSB #82224
2	534 SW Third Ave., Suite 711 Portland, Oregon 97204
3	(503) 274-4430
4	
5	
6	
7	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHINGTON
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF WHOM WORK
10	N 00 1251 OV
11	) Case No. 99 1251 CV )
12	Petitioner, ) FINDINGS OF FACT,
13	vs. ) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW
14	STATE OF OREGON,
15	Defendant/Respondent. )
<b>1</b> 6	THIS MATTER came before the Court and the Honorable Jon Lund for trial on the
17	Petitioner's Formal Petition for post-Conviction Relief. Petitioner appeared in person and by her
18	attorney, Brian Patrick Conry and Defendant/Respondent appeared by and through its attorney,
19	Andrew R. Erwin, Deputy District Attorney.
20	In this proceeding, Petitioner contends that her conviction in Washington County Case
21	'No. C920135CR (92-0189a) is void and that her conviction was obtained in violation of her
22	constitutional rights.
23	Constitutional fights.
24	
25	

Specifically, Petitioner claims that:

- Petitioner's trial counsel was constitutionally inadequate with regard to adequately researching and advising Petitioner of the reasonably foreseeable consequences of being convicted of Delivery of a Controlled Substance (commercial drug offense) as regards, to Petitioner's immigration status and the likelihood of deportation, cf Lyons v. Pearce, 298 OR 554 (1985).

The Court having considered the evidence and arguments of counsel now makes the following:

## FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. The trial judge's conduct regarding acceptance of the Petitioner's no contest pleas based upon the signed, written plea petition (Exhibit 1) and the trial judge's colloquy with Petitioner and Petitioner's counsel, did not violate any State and Federal constitutional provisions; Lyons v. Pearce, 298 OR 554 (1985).
- 2. Petitioner's trial counsel was constitutionally inadequate with regard to adequately researching and advising Petitioner of the reasonably foreseeable consequences of being convicted of Delivery of a Controlled Substance (commercial drug offense) as regards to Petitioner's immigration status and the likelihood of deportation. cf

  Lyons v. Pearce, supra

2 - FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW WFrom desk k-BPC CLIENTS Benites - Chacool Pleadings of Factal Conclusions. doc

## CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

2	Based on the findings of facts set forth above, in the underlying criminal proceedings
3	resulting in Petitioner's conviction, Petitioner was denied the right to effective assistance of
4	counsel as guaranteed by either the United Slates Constitution and as articulated by the United
5	States Supreme Court in Strickland v. Washington, 466 US 668 (1984), and/or as guaranteed by
6	die Constitution of the State of Oregon as construed by the Oregon Supreme Court in Lyons v.
7	Pearce, 298 OR 554 (1985).
8	Dated this 11th day of July, 2000.
9	
10	_/s/ Jon Lund
11 12	Jon Lund Circuit Court Judge
13	
14	SUBMITTED BY: Brian Patrick Conry, OSB #82224
15	Attorney for Petitioner
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	3 - FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

3 - FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW Wrone death & BPC CLIENTS Benitez-Chanon Pleadings of acta Coachusioas doc